



Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Product Regulation

Medicine Variations Guideline

January 2026

Version 3.2

NHRA CEO Approval:

Dr. / Ahmed Mohamed Al Ansari

Date:



Document Control

Version	Date	Author(s)	Comments
1.0	09.03.2014	Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Products Regulation	Final
1.1	27.08.2014	Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Products Regulation	Final
2.0	30.03.2017	Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Products Regulation	Final
2.1	15.01.2020	Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Products Regulation	Final
3.0	04.09.2023	Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Products Regulation	Final
3.1	20.10.2025	Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Products Regulation	Final
3.2	21.01.2026	Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Products Regulation	Final



Summary of Changes:

No.	Section	Description of Change
3	5. General Notes	Addition of c) The assessment of a minor variation application (Type IA) shall be completed by the designated NHRA staff within (7) working days, whereas Type IB and major variation applications are expected to be completed within (40) working days.

Table of Contents

Item	Page
1. Introduction	6
2. Scope	6
3. Submission Procedure & Requirements	6
3.1 Before Submission	6
3.2 Submission Procedure	6
3.3 Submission Requirements	7
4. Classification of Variation Applications	7
4.1 Minor Variation	7
4.2 Major Variation	8
5. General Notes	8
Appendix I: Examples for some Major Changes and Most Minor Changes	10
I. Administrative Changes	10
II. Quality Changes	14
II.1 Active Substance	14
II.2 Finished Product	26
II.3 CEP/TSE/Monograph	51
II.4 PMF/VAMF	52
II.5 Drugs Containing Medical Device	56
III. Safety, Efficacy Changes (Human Medicinal Products)	57
Appendix II: Examples for major changes	59
Appendix III: Changes that make a new application is necessary	61
Abbreviations	62
References	63



1. Introduction

Guideline documents are meant to provide assistance to industry and professionals on how to comply with governing statutes and regulations. Guideline documents also provide assistance to staff on how NHRA mandates and objectives should be implemented in a manner that is fair, consistent and effective.

The purpose of this guideline is to provide clear instruction on the NHRA procedure for varying a medicine license.

This guideline is adapted from the European variations legislation and associated guidance and has been developed to assist applicants in the preparation and submission of applications for variations to existing medicines licenses. Such applications should contain the data necessary to support the quality, safety, and efficacy of the product as necessary. These data are reviewed by the NHRA in accordance with the procedures outlined below and a conclusion reached based upon the likely balance of the benefits versus risks associated with the variation.

2. Scope

This document applies to change(s) made on drug products that have already received a marketing authorization from the NHRA.

3. Submission Procedure and Requirements

3.1. Before Submission

Applicants must prepare the variation application according to the requirements and assure all the documents are available before submission.

Country of origin approval/proof of approval for the proposed variation is a must for all relevant variations.

3.2. Submission Procedure

Variation applications must be submitted through the NHRA online system “Adweya”. The applicant is required to prepare and upload all necessary documents along with the eCTD sequence directly into the Adweya system.



3.3. Submission Requirements

Other than the documents mentioned in the appendix I applicant must submit the following requirements:

- a) Cover letter from the local agent clearly mentioning product name & description, proposed variation & type and implementation date.
- b) Cover letter and a duly filled variation application form signed and stamped from the MAH Company clearly mentioning product name & description, proposed variation & type and a summary of the intended change in tabular form in which the current state/situation and the situation after the intended change are compared to outline the scope of the change in a transparent manner.
- c) Country of origin approval for the change or proof of the change in country of origin (where applicable).

4. Classification of Variation Application

The variation or post-marketing changes can be classified into two categories:

4.1 Minor Variation:

- Type IA: Such minor variations can be implemented without NHRA approval (“Do and Tell” procedure) but require notification submitted by the marketing authorization holder (MAH) within 60 working days after implementation. When one or more conditions established in this guideline for minor change of Type IA are not met, the concerned change may be submitted as Type IB variation unless the change is specifically classified as a major change variation of type II. Type IA variation will be rejected when not all the conditions for the Type IA variation are met, the MAH shall immediately cease to apply the rejected changes.
- Type IB: Such minor variations must be notified to NHRA by the Marketing Authorization Holder (MAH) before implementation through official application on the assigned day “require prior approval before implementation” (“Tell, Wait and Do” procedure).

4.2 Major Variation:

- Type II: Such major variations which may have a significant impact on the Quality, Safety or Efficacy of a medicinal product and require prior approval before implementation.



In order to facilitate the classification of variation or post-market changes, the appendices explicitly define the various types of changes:

- **Appendix 1** lists some major changes and most minor changes which are classified by the type of change and the conditions which frame the type of change. When the conditions are not met, the change may either classify as a major change or may make a new application necessary.
- **Appendix 2** lists examples for major changes.
- **Appendix 3** lists the types of changes that make a new application necessary.

5. General Notes:

The following notes should be taken into consideration when submitting any variation application:

- a) NHRA approval is a must for any variation to the approved medicine information which is not listed in this guideline.
- b) NHRA will issue variation approval letters only for Type IB & II.
- c) The assessment of a minor variation application (Type IA) shall be completed by the designated NHRA staff within (7) working days, whereas Type IB and major variation applications are expected to be completed within (40) working days.
- d) Company must implement the submitted variation within (12) months from the approval letter date.
- e) Variation applications are accepted for products which have valid license only. However, in case the re-registration of product license is scheduled, an individual variation application shall be accepted.
- f) It is important to note that NHRA reserves the right to request any additional information and data not specifically described in this document, in order to assess adequately the safety, efficacy and quality of drug products. NHRA is committed to ensure that such requests are justifiable, and decisions are clearly documented.
- g) Applicants should be aware that deficient documentation can lead to rejection of the application. In addition, submitting redundant or irrelevant information may hamper approval procedures.
- h) All days mentioned throughout this document are described as working days (subjected to change).



- i) Some parts in the appendixes are changed and specific for NHRA, accordingly the applicant must read it and be aware of the differences between this document and other authorities' similar guidance.
- j) According to NHRA's eCTD implementation plan, the variation submission in eCTD format is mandatory from the 2nd of May 2017. This applies only to human medicine applications.
- k) Respond to NHRA queries or requests for more data, within the timelines.
- l) A printout of the GMP certificate from Eudra GMP website and a screen shot of the USFDA inspected establishment record will be accepted by NHRA without legalization.
- m) Electronic generated GMP "e-GMP" can be accepted without legalization as long as there's method for verification.
- n) Documents issued by GCC health authorities do not require legalization.
- o) Certificate of Pharmaceutical Product (CPP) according to WHO format; legalized and issued from the (batch releaser country or the MAH country). Electronic generated CPP "e-CPP" can be accepted without legalization as long as there's method for verification.
- p) Suspended Products are not allowed to be submitted for variation until the suspension is lifted.
- q) Relevant supporting data must be submitted for major variation, moreover the documents required for variation type IB should also be included. Further NHRA reserves the right to request any further documentation should the need arise.
- r) A technical batch release site must have a valid NHRA manufacturing site license.

Appendix I: Examples for some Major Changes and Most Minor Changes

I. Administrative Changes

1. Change in the marketing authorization holder.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Change in the name and/or address of the marketing authorization holder.	1	1, 2, 5	IB
b) Transfer the product to new marketing authorization holder.	2	1, 2, 3, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) The marketing authorization holder (MAH) shall remain the same legal entity.			
2) The marketing authorization holder (MAH) is a different legal entity.			
Documentation			
1) Copy of CPP or a formal document from a relevant official body (e.g. Chamber of commerce, national drug regulatory authority etc.) in which the new name or new address is mentioned.			
2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
3) Copy of the agreement (between the old and new MAH).			
4) Legalized certificate of pharmaceutical product (CPP).			
5) Declaration letter from the MAH for no change in legal entity.			

2. Change in the (invented) name of the medicinal product.	Condition to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
	1, 2	1, 2	IB
Conditions			
1) No confusion with the International Nonproprietary Name (INN).			
2) No confusion with other marketed trade name in Bahrain.			
Documentation			
1) A formal document from the national drug regulatory authority in which the new name is approved, if applicable.			

2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.

3. Change in name of the active substance or of an excipient.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
	1	1, 2	IA
Conditions			
1) The active substance/excipient shall remain the same.			
Documentation			
1) Proof of acceptance by WHO or copy of the INN list.			
2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			

4. Change in the name and/or address of a manufacturer (including where relevant quality control testing site) or supplier of the active substance, starting material, reagent or intermediate used in the manufacture of the active substance (where specified in the product dossier) where no Certificate of Suitability is available.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
	1	1, 2, 3	IA
Conditions			
1) The manufacturing site and all manufacturing operations shall remain the same.			
Documentation			
1) Copy of valid GMP certificate or a formal document from a relevant official body (e.g. chamber of commerce, national drug regulatory authority, etc.) in which the new name and/or address is mentioned.			
2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
3) In case of a drug master file (DMF), an updated "letter of access".			

5. Change in the name and/or address of a manufacturer of the finished product, including quality control sites.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Manufacturer responsible for batch release.	1	1, 2	IB

b) Manufacturer responsible for primary packaging.	1	1, 2	IB
c) Manufacturer responsible for secondary packaging.	1	1, 2	IB
d) Manufacturer responsible for manufacturing the dosage form (Bulk).	1	1, 2	IB
e) Other.	1	1, 2	IB
Conditions			
1) The manufacturing site and all manufacturing operations shall remain the same.			
Documentation			
1) Copy of valid GMP certificate or a formal document from a relevant official body (e.g. chamber of commerce, national drug regulatory authority, etc.) in which the new name and/or address is mentioned.			
2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			

6. Change in ATC Code.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
	1	1, 2	IA
Conditions			
1) Change following granting of or amendment to ATC Code by WHO.			
Documentation			
1) Proof of acceptance (by WHO).			
2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			

7. Deletion of a manufacturing site (including for an active Substance, intermediate or finished product, packaging site, where batch control takes place, or supplier of a starting material, reagent, or excipient, when mentioned in the dossier).	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
	1, 2	1, 2, 3	IB
Conditions			



1) There should at least remain one site/manufacturer, as previously authorized, performing the same function as the one(s) concerned by the deletion.
2) The deletion should not be due to critical deficiencies concerning manufacturing.
Documentation
1) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” manufacturers.
2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
3) Justification for the change.

II. Quality Changes

II.1 Active Substance

a) Manufacture

8. Change in the manufacturer of a starting material/reagent/intermediate used in the manufacturing process of the active substance or change in the manufacturer (including where relevant quality control testing site) of the active substance, where no Certificate of Suitability is available.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) The proposed manufacturer is part of the same organization as the currently approved manufacturer.	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	IB
b) Submission of a new drug master file (DMF).			II
c) The proposed manufacturer uses a substantially different route of synthesis or manufacturing conditions, which may have a potential to change important quality characteristics of the active substance, such as qualitative and/or quantitative impurity profile requiring qualification, or physico-chemical properties impacting on bioavailability.			II
d) New manufacturer of material for which an assessment is required of viral safety and/or TSE risk.			II
e) The change relates to a biological/immunological product.			II
f) Introduction of new manufacture not supported by DMF.			II
g) New storage site of master cell bank and or working cell bank.			II
h) Introduction of a new site of micronization.	1, 2, 4	1, 5, 6, 7	IB
i) Changes to quality control testing arrangements for the active substance-replacement or addition of a site where batch control/testing takes place.	2, 5	1, 6	IA
j) Changes to quality control testing arrangements for a biological active substance: replacement or			II

<p>addition of a site where batch control/testing including a biological/immunological/ immunochemical method takes place</p>			
<p>Conditions</p>			
<p>1) The specifications (including in-process controls, methods of analysis of all materials), method of preparation (including batch size) and detailed route of synthesis are identical to those already approved.</p>			
<p>2) The active substance is not a biological/immunological substance or sterile.</p>			
<p>3) Where materials of human or animal origin are used in the process, the manufacturer does not use any new supplier for which assessment of viral safety or TSE risk is required.</p>			
<p>4) Particle size specification of the API and the corresponding analytical method remain the same.</p>			
<p>5) Method transfer from the old to the new site has been successfully completed.</p>			
<p>Documentation</p>			
<p>1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.</p>			
<p>2) Copy of valid GMP certificate.</p>			
<p>3) A declaration from the marketing authorization holder that the synthetic route (or in case of herbal products, where appropriate the method of preparation, geographical source, production of herbal drug and manufacturing route) quality control procedures and specifications of the active substance and of the starting material/reagent/intermediate in the manufacturing process of the active substance (if applicable) are the same as those already approved.</p>			
<p>4) Either a TSE Certificate of Suitability for any new source of material or, where applicable, documentary evidence that the specific source of the TSE risk material has previously been assessed by a national drug regulatory authority of the ICH region and associated countries and shown to comply with the current <i>Note for Guidance on Minimizing the Risk of Transmitting Animal Spongiform Encephalopathy Agents via Human and Veterinary Medicinal Products</i> or an equivalent guideline of the ICH region and associated countries. The information should include the following: Name of manufacturer, species and tissues from which the material is a derivative, country of origin of the source animals, its use and previous acceptance.</p>			
<p>5) Batch analysis data (in a comparative tabular format) for at least two batches (minimum pilot scale) of the active substance from the current and proposed manufacturers/sites with its COAs.</p>			
<p>6) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” manufacturers.</p>			
<p>7) A declaration by the Qualified Person (QP) at the site responsible for batch release that starting material/reagent/intermediate used in the manufacturing of the active substance and the active substance are manufactured in accordance with the good manufacturing practice (GMP) guidelines.</p>			

- 8) Stability studies for at least 3 months on one production batch of the finished product (accelerated and long term) according to the GCC guidelines using API from the new supplier and submit stability data immediately to NHRA in case of any out of specification results (OOS) along with the proposed action.

9. Changes in the manufacturing process of the active substance.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Minor change in the manufacturing process of the active substance.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3	IA
b) Substantial change to the manufacturing process of the active substance which may have a significant impact on the quality, safety or efficacy of the medicinal product.			II
c) The substance is a biological/immunological substance.			II
d) The change relates to a herbal medicine and there is a change to any of the following: geographical source, manufacturing route or production.			II
e) Minor change to the restricted part of drug master file (DMF).		1, 2, 3, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) No change in qualitative and quantitative impurity profile or in physicochemical properties.			
2) The product concerned is not a biological /immunological medicinal product.			
3) The synthetic route remains the same, i.e. intermediates remain the same and there are no changes to the reagents, catalysts or solvents used in the process. In the case of herbal medicines, the geographical source, production of the herbal substance and the manufacturing route remain the same.			
4) The specifications of the active substance or intermediates are unchanged.			
5) The change does not refer to restricted part of an active substance master file.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the finished product dossier and drug master file (DMF) (where applicable), including a direct comparison of the present process and the new process.			
2) Batch analysis data (in comparative tabular format) of at least two batches (minimum pilot scale) manufactured according to the currently approved and proposed process along with its COAs.			

3) Copy of approved specifications of the active substance.
4) Declaration that there is no change in qualitative and quantitative impurity profile or in physico-chemical properties, that the synthetic route remains the same and that the specifications of the active substance or intermediates are unchanged.

10. Change in batch size of active substance or intermediate.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Up to 10-fold increase compared to the currently approved batch size.	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7	1, 2, 5	IA
b) Downscaling.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 5	IA
c) The change relates to a biological/immunological active substance.			II
d) More than 10-fold increase compared to the currently approved batch size.		1, 2, 3, 4	IB

Conditions

- 1) Any changes to the manufacturing methods are only those necessitated by scale-up or downscaling, e.g. use of different-sized equipment.
- 2) Test results of at least two batches according to the specifications should be available for the proposed batch size.
- 3) The product concerned is not a biological/immunological medicinal product.
- 4) The change does not affect the reproducibility of the process.
- 5) The change should not be the result of unexpected events arising during manufacture or because of stability concerns.
- 6) The specifications of the active substance/intermediates remain the same.
- 7) The active substance is not sterile.

Documentation

- 1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
- 2) The batch numbers of the tested batches having the proposed batch size.
- 3) Batch analysis data (in a comparative tabulated format) on a minimum of one production batch manufactured to both the currently approved and the proposed sizes. Batch data on the next two full production batches should be made available upon request and reported by the marketing authorization holder if outside specification (with proposed action) with COAs.
- 4) Copy of approved specifications of the active substance (and of the intermediate, if applicable).

5) A declaration from the marketing authorization holder or the DMF holder as appropriate that the changes to the manufacturing methods are only those necessitated by scale-up or downscaling, e.g. use of different-sized equipment, that the change does not adversely affect the reproducibility of the process, that it is not the result of unexpected events arising during manufacture or because of stability concerns and that the specifications of the active substance/intermediates remain the same.

11. Change to in-process tests or limits applied during the manufacture of the active substance.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Tightening of in-process limits.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Addition of a new in-process test and limits.	1, 2, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 4, 6	IA
c) Widening of the approved in-process control (IPC) limits, which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the active substance.			II
d) Deletion of an in-process test which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the active substance.			II
e) Addition or replacement of an in-process test as a result of a safety or quality issue.		1, 2, 3, 4, 6	IB
f) Deletion of a non-significant in-process test.	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 5	IA
Conditions			
1) The change is not a consequence of any commitment from previous assessments to review specification limits (e.g. made during the procedure for the marketing authorization application or a type II variation procedure).			
2) The change does not result from unexpected events arising during manufacture e.g. new unqualified impurity; change in total impurity limits.			
3) Any change should be within the range of currently approved limits.			
4) The test procedure remains the same.			
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.			
6) The new test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method or a method using a biological reagent for a biological active substance.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			

2) Comparative table of current and proposed in-process tests.
3) Details of any new analytical method and validation data.
4) Batch analysis data on two production batches (3 production batches for biological, unless otherwise justified) of the active substance for all specification parameters with its COAs.
5) Justification/risk-assessment showing that the parameter is non-significant.
6) Justification for the new in-process test and limits.

b) Control of active substance

12. Change in the specification parameters and/or limits of an active substance, starting material/intermediate/reagent used in the manufacturing process of the active substance.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Tightening of specification limits.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Addition of a new specification parameter to the specification.	1, 2, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IA
c) Change outside the approved specifications limits range for the active substance.			II
d) Widening of the approved specifications limits for starting materials/reagents/intermediates, which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the active substance and/or the finished product.			II
e) Deletion of a specification parameter which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the active substance and/or the finished product.			II
f) Addition or replacement of a specification parameter as a result of a safety or quality issue.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IB
g) Deletion of a non-significant specification parameter (e. g deletion of an obsolete test e.g. organoleptic test).		1, 2, 6	IA
Conditions			
1) The change is not a consequence of any commitment from previous assessments to review specification limits (e.g. made during the procedure for the marketing authorization application or a type II variation procedure).			

2) The change does not result from unexpected events arising during manufacture e.g. new unqualified impurity; change in total impurity limits.
3) Any change should be within the range of currently approved limits.
4) The test procedure remains the same.
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.
6) The test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method or a method using a biological reagent.
7) The change does not concern a genotoxic impurity.
Documentation
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
2) Comparative table of current and proposed specifications.
3) Details of any new analytical method and validation data.
4) Batch analysis data on two production batches (3 production batches for biologicals, unless otherwise justified) of the relevant substance for all specification parameters with its COAs.
5) Where appropriate, comparative dissolution profile data for the finished product on at least one pilot batch containing the active substance complying with the current and proposed specification. For herbal products, comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.
6) Justification/ risk-assessment showing that the parameter is non-significant.
7) Justification of the new specification parameter and the limits.

13. Change in test procedure for active substance or starting material/reagent/intermediate used in the manufacturing process of the active substance.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Minor changes to an approved test procedure.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Change (including replacement or addition) to a biological/immunological/immunochemical test method or a method using a biological reagent for a biological active substance. e.g. peptide map, glyco-map, etc.			II
c) Other changes to a test procedure (including replacement or addition) for the active substance or a starting material/intermediate.		1,2	IB

d) Other changes to a test procedure (including replacement or addition) for a reagent, which does not have a significant effect on the overall quality of the active substance.	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	1, 2	IB
e) Deletion of a test procedure for the active substance or a starting material/intermediate if an alternative test procedure is already authorized.		1	IA
f) Deletion of a test procedure for reagents if an alternative test procedure is already authorized.	7	1	IA
Conditions			
1) Appropriate validation studies have been performed in accordance with the ICH guidelines and show that the updated test procedure is at least equivalent to the former.			
2) There have been no changes of the total impurity limits; no new unqualified impurities are detected.			
3) The method of analysis should remain the same (e.g. a change in column length or temperature, but not a different type of column or method).			
4) The test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method, or a method using a biological reagent.			
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.			
6) The active substance is not biological/immunological.			
7) There is still a test procedure registered for the specification parameter.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation, which includes a description of the analytical methodology, a summary of validation data, revised specifications for impurities (if applicable).			
2) Comparative validation results showing that the current test and the proposed one are equivalent.			

c) Container closure system

14. Change in immediate packaging of the active substance.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Change in the qualitative and quantitative composition.	1, 2, 3,	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	IB

b) Qualitative and/or quantitative composition for sterile and non-frozen biological/immunological active substances			II
c) Liquid active substances (non-sterile)		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	IB
Conditions			
1) The proposed packaging material must be at least equivalent to the approved material in respect of its relevant properties.			
2) Relevant stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches for at least three months.			
3) Sterile and biological/immunological active substances are excluded.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Appropriate data on the new packaging (comparative data on permeability e.g. for O ₂ , CO ₂ moisture), including confirmation that the material complies with relevant pharmacopeia requirements.			
3) Proof must be provided that no interaction between the content and the packaging material occurs (e.g. no migration of components of the proposed material into the content and no loss of components of the product into the pack).			
4) The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability guidelines, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches for at least three months.			
5) A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action.			
6) Comparative table of the current and proposed specifications, if applicable.			

15. Change in the specification parameters and/or limits of the immediate packaging of the active substance	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Tightening of specification limits	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Addition of a new specification parameter to the specification.	1, 2, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 6	IA

c) Addition or replacement of a specification parameter as a result of a safety or quality issue.		1, 2, 3, 4, 6	IB
d) Deletion of a non-significant specification parameter (e.g. deletion of an obsolete test).	1, 2	1, 2, 5	IA
Conditions			
1) The change is not a consequence of any commitment from previous assessments to review specification limits (e.g. made during the procedure for the marketing authorization application or a type II variation procedure).			
2) The change does not result from unexpected events arising during manufacture.			
3) Any change should be within the range of currently approved limits.			
4) The test procedure remains the same.			
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Comparative table of current and proposed specifications.			
3) Details of any new analytical method and validation data.			
4) Batch analysis data on two batches of the immediate packaging for all specification parameters.			
5) Justification/risk-assessment showing that the parameter is non-significant.			
6) Justification of the new specification parameter and the limits.			

16. Change in test procedure for the immediate packaging of the active substance.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Minor changes to an approved test procedure.	1, 2, 3	1, 2	IA
b) Other changes to a test procedure (including replacement or addition).	1, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
c) Deletion of a test procedure if an alternative test procedure is already authorized.	5	1	IA
Conditions			
1) Appropriate validation studies have been performed in accordance with the ICH guidelines and show that the updated test procedure is at least equivalent to the former.			

2) The method of analysis should remain the same. (e.g. a change in column length or temperature, but not a different type of column or method).
3) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.
4) The active substance/ finished product is not biological/immunological.
5) There is still a test procedure registered for the specification parameter.
Documentation
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation, which includes a description of the analytical methodology, a summary of validation data.
2) Comparative validation results showing that the current test and the proposed one are equivalent.

d) Stability

17. Change in the re-test period/storage period or storage conditions of the active substance Where no CEP covers the re-test period and is a part of the approved dossier.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Reduction in the re-test period/storage period of the active substance.	1	1, 2, 3,4	IB
b) Extension or introduction of a re-test period/storage period of active substances.		1, 2, 3,4	II
c) Change in storage conditions of the active substance.		1, 2, 3,4	IB
d) Change in storage conditions of biological/immunological active substances, when the stability studies have not been performed in accordance with a currently approved stability protocol.			II
e) Change to an approved stability protocol	1, 2	1, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) The change should not be the result of unexpected events arising during manufacture or because of stability concerns.			



2) The changes do not concern a widening of the acceptance criteria in the parameters tested, a removal of stability indicating parameters or a reduction in the frequency of testing.

Documentation

1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation. These must contain results of appropriate recent real time stability studies; conducted in accordance with the GCC stability on at least two (three for biological medicinal products) pilot or production scale batches of the active substance in the authorized packaging material and covering the duration of the requested re-test period or requested storage conditions.

2) Confirmation that stability studies have been done to the currently approved protocol. The studies must show that the agreed relevant specifications are still met.

3) Copy of approved specifications of the active substance.

4) Justification for the change.

II.2 Finished Product

a) Description and composition

18. Change or addition of imprints, bossing or other markings including replacement, or addition of inks used for product marking.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Changes in imprints, bossing or other markings.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2, 4	IB
b) Changes in scoring/break lines intended to divide into equal doses.		1, 2, 3, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) Finished product release and end of shelf-life specifications have not been changed (except for appearance).			
2) Any ink must comply with the relevant pharmaceutical legislation.			
3) The scoring/break lines are not intended to divide into equal doses.			
4) Any product markings used to differentiate strengths should not be completely deleted.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation including a detailed drawing or written description			
2) of the current and new appearance.			
3) Samples of the finished product where applicable.			
4) Results of the appropriate compendial tests demonstrating equivalence in characteristics/correct dosing (<i>i.e., results demonstrating that the proposed tablet breaks evenly</i>).			
5) Updated version of the specification sheet.			

19. Change in the shape or dimensions of the pharmaceutical form.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Immediate release tablets, capsules, suppositories, and pessaries.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 4	IB
b) Gastro-resistant, modified, or prolonged release pharmaceutical forms and scored tablets.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5	IB
Conditions			
1) If appropriate, the dissolution profile of the reformulated product is comparable to the old one. For herbal medicine, where dissolution testing may not be feasible, the disintegration time of the new product compared to the old one.			

2) Release and end of shelf-life specifications of the product have not been changed (except for dimensions).
3) The qualitative or quantitative composition and mean mass remain unchanged.
4) The change does not relate to a scored tablet.
Documentation
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation including a detailed drawing of the current and proposed situation.
2) Comparative dissolution data on at least one pilot batch of the current and proposed dimensions. For herbal product comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.
3) Justification for not submitting a new bioequivalence study.
4) Samples of the finished product where applicable.
5) Results of the appropriate compendial tests demonstrating equivalence in characteristics/correct dosing.

20. Changes in the composition (excipients) of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Changes in components of the flavoring or coloring system:			
1) Addition, deletion, or replacement.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	IB
2) Increase or reduction.	1, 2, 4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 4	IB
b) Other excipients:			
1) The change relates to biological /immunological product.			II
2) Qualitative or quantitative changes in one or more excipients that may have a significant impact on the safety, quality, or efficacy of the medicinal product.			II
3) Any new excipient that includes the use of materials of human or animal origin for which assessment is required of viral safety data or TSE risk.			II
4) Change that is supported by a bioequivalence study.			II

5) Replacement of a single excipient with a comparable excipient with the same functional characteristics and at a similar level.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	IB
c) Any minor adjustment of the quantitative composition of the finished product with respect to excipients.	1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 10	1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8	IB
Conditions			
1) No change in functional characteristics of the pharmaceutical form e.g. disintegration time, dissolution profile.			
2) Any minor adjustment to the formulation to maintain the total weight should be made by an excipient which currently makes up a major part of the finished product formulation.			
3) The finished product specification has only been updated in respect of appearance/odor/taste and if relevant, deletion or addition of identification tests.			
4) Relevant stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches for at least three months.			
5) Any new proposed components must comply with the relevant guidelines for flavors or colors.			
6) The new excipient does not include the use of materials of human or animal origin for which assessment of viral safety or TSE risk is required.			
7) Where applicable, the change does not affect the differentiation between strengths and does not have a negative impact on taste acceptability for pediatric formulations.			
8) The dissolution profile of the new product determined on a minimum of two pilot scale batches is comparable to the old one. For herbal medicine where dissolution testing may not be feasible, the disintegration time of the new product is comparable to the old one.			
9) The change is not the result of stability issues and/or should not result in potential safety concerns i.e. differentiation between strengths.			
10) The product concerned is not a biological/immunological medicinal product.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation including identification method for any new colorant and if appropriate updated end of shelf-life specifications.			
2) The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches for at least three months.			
3) A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action.			

4) Sample of the new product, where applicable.
5) Either a TSE Certificate of Suitability for any new source of material or, where applicable, documentary evidence that the specific source of the TSE risk material has previously been assessed by a national drug regulatory authority of the ICH region and associated countries and shown to comply with the current Note for Guidance on Minimizing the Risk of Transmitting Animal Spongiform Encephalopathy Agents via Human and Veterinary Medicinal Products or an equivalent guideline of the ICH region and associated countries. The information should include the following: Name of manufacturer, species and tissues from which the material is a derivative, country of origin of the source animals, its use and previous acceptance.
6) Data to demonstrate that the new excipient does not interfere with the finished product specification test methods, if appropriate.
7) Justification for the change/choice of excipients etc. Must be given by appropriate development pharmaceuticals (including stability aspects and antimicrobial preservation where appropriate).
8) For solid dosage forms, comparative dissolution profile data of at least two pilot scale batches of the finished product in the new and old composition. For herbal products, comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.
9) Justification for not submitting a new bioequivalence study.

21. Change in coating weight of oral dosage forms or change in weight of capsule shells.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Solid oral pharmaceutical forms.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2, 3	IB
b) Gastro-resistant modified or prolonged release pharmaceutical forms where the coating is a critical factor for the release mechanism.			II
Conditions			
1) The dissolution profile of the new product determined on a minimum of two pilot scale batches is comparable to the old one. For herbal medicine where dissolution testing may not be feasible, the disintegration time of the new product is comparable to the old one.			
2) The coating is not a critical factor for the release mechanism.			
3) The finished product specification has only been updated in respect of weight and dimensions, if applicable.			
4) Relevant stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches for at least three months.			
Documentation			

1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
2) The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability guidelines, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches for at least three months.
3) A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action.

22. Deletion of the solvent/diluent container from the pack.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
		1, 2	IB
Conditions			
None.			
Documentation			
1) Justification for the deletion, including a statement regarding alternative means to obtain the solvent/diluent as required for the safe and effective use of the medicinal product.			
2) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			

b) Manufacture

23. Replacement or addition of a manufacturing site for part or all of the manufacturing process of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Secondary packaging site.	1, 2, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10	IB
b) Primary packaging site.	1, 2, 4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 17	IB
c) Bulk manufacturer for sterile Medicinal products and or biological/immunological medicinal products.	1, 2, 3, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19	II
d) Site where any manufacturing operation(s) take place, except batch release and secondary packaging, for sterile medicinal products, and biological/immunological medicinal products.			II

e) Site where any manufacturing operation(s) take place, except batch-release, primary and secondary packaging, for non-sterile medicinal products.	1, 2, 4, 6	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	IB
Conditions			
1) Satisfactory inspection in the last three years.			
2) Site appropriately authorized (to manufacture the pharmaceutical form or product concerned).			
3) The site is licensed by NHRA and is valid.			
4) Product concerned is not a sterile product.			
5) Where relevant, for instance for suspensions and emulsions, validation scheme is available or validation of the manufacture at the new site has been successfully carried out according to the current protocol with at least three production scale batches.			
6) Product concerned is not a biological/immunological medicinal product.			
7) The secondary packaging does not affect the product stability (e.g. Protect from light and/or moisture).			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Justification for changing the manufacturing site.			
3) Proof that the proposed site is appropriately authorized for the pharmaceutical form or product concerned.			
4) Copy of valid GMP certificate.			
5) Copy of valid NHRA manufacturing site license.			
6) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” finished product manufacturers.			
7) A statement defining the primary steps of manufacturing process and the site at which each step takes place.			
8) A declaration by the company that the manufacturing process will remain the same. In addition, the API(s), excipient(s) and their source(s), dosage form, concentration, the primary and secondary packaging, labeling, and all specifications for the product must remain the same as previously approved in the old site. A clarification of any proposed change(s) to the manufacturing of the product at the new manufacturing site should be provided and justified.			
9) If the new manufacturing site uses the active substance as a starting material – A declaration by the Qualified Person (QP) at the site responsible for batch release that the active substance is			

manufactured in accordance with the detailed guidelines on good manufacturing practice for starting materials.
10) The specifications, composition and source of the raw materials used in the manufacturing for the product concerned.
11) Copy of approved release and end of shelf-life specifications for the product if relevant.
12) Batch analysis data (with its COAs) on one production batch and two pilot-scale batches simulating the production process (or two production batches) and comparative data on the last three batches from the previous site; batch data on the next two production batches should be available on request or reported if outside specifications (with proposed action).
13) Relevant stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches for at least three months.
14) A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action.
15) Where relevant, the batch numbers of batches (3) used in the validation study should be indicated and validation protocol (scheme) to be submitted.
16) For semisolid and liquid formulations in which the active substance is present in non-dissolved form, appropriate validation data including microscopic imaging of particle size distribution and morphology.
17) For solid dosage forms, data from comparative dissolution tests with demonstration of similarity of dissolution profile, performed on the last three batches from the previous site and the first three batches from the new site should be submitted.
18) Validation of the analytical methods needed for batch release (according to the release specifications) from the proposed secondary packaging site and/or validation for transportation process from manufacturing site to secondary packaging site along with release certificate from secondary packaging site covering all processes from receiving the semi-finished product to final pack.
19) Copy of the certificate of pharmaceutical product (CPP).

24. Change to batch release arrangements and quality control testing of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Replacement or addition of a site where batch control/testing takes place.	2, 3	2, 4	IB
b) Replacement or addition of a site where batch control/testing takes place for a biological/immunological product and one of			II

the test methods performed at that site is not a physico-chemical method.			
c) Replacement of a manufacturer responsible for batch release:			
1) Not including batch control/testing.	1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	IB
2) Including batch control/testing.	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	IB
3) Including batch control/testing for a biological/immunological product and one of the test methods performed at that site is not a physicochemical method.			II
Conditions			
1) The site is licensed by NHRA and is valid.			
2) The product is not a biological/immunological medicinal product.			
3) Method transfer from the old to the new site or new test laboratory has been successfully completed.			
Documentation			
1) Copy of valid NHRA manufacturing site license.			
2) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” finished product manufacturers.			
3) A declaration by the Qualified Person (QP) responsible for batch certification stating that the active substance manufacturer(s) referred to in the marketing authorization operate in compliance with the detailed guidelines on good manufacturing practice for starting materials.			
4) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
5) Price Certificate form available on NHRA website.			
6) Copy of valid GMP certificate.			
7) Legalized certificate of pharmaceutical product (CPP).			

25. Change in the manufacturing process of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Substantial changes to a manufacturing process that may have a significant impact on the quality, safety, and efficacy of the medicinal product.			II

b) The change relates to a biological/immunological medicinal product.			II
c) Introduction of a non-standard terminal sterilization method.			II
d) Introduction or increase in the overage that is used for the active substance.			II
e) Minor change in the manufacturing process of aqueous oral suspension.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9	IB
f) Minor change in the manufacturing process of an immediate release solid oral dosage form.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,7, 8	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9	IB
Conditions			
1) No change in qualitative and quantitative impurity profile or in physicochemical properties.			
2) The product concerned is not a biological/immunological or herbal product.			
3) The manufacturing principle including the single manufacturing steps remains the same, e.g. processing intermediates and there are no changes to any manufacturing solvent used in the process.			
4) The currently registered process has to be controlled by relevant in-process controls and no changes are required to these controls.			
5) The specifications of the finished product or intermediates are unchanged.			
6) The product concerned is an immediate release solid oral dosage form.			
7) The new process must lead to an identical product regarding all aspects of quality, safety and efficacy.			
8) Relevant stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches for at least three months.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation, including a direct comparison of the present process and the new process.			
2) For semi-solid and liquid products in which the active substance is present in non-dissolved form: appropriate validation of the change including microscopic imaging of particles to check for visible changes in morphology; comparative size distribution data by an appropriate method.			
3) For solid dosage forms: dissolution profile data of one representative production batch and comparative data of the last three batches from the previous process; data on the next two full production batches should be available on request or reported if outside specification (with proposed action). For herbal medicines, comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.			

4) Justification for not submitting a new bioequivalence study.
5) Copy of approved release and end of shelf-life specifications.
6) In case of a change to the sterilization process, validation data should be provided.
7) Batch analysis data (in a comparative tabulated format) with its COAs on a minimum of one batch manufactured to both the currently approved and the proposed process. Batch data on the next two full production batches should be made available upon request and reported by the marketing authorization holder if outside specification (with proposed action).
8) The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches for at least three months.
9) A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action.

26. Change in the batch size of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Up to 10-fold compared to the currently approved batch size.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 4, 7	IB
b) Downscaling down to 10-fold.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	1, 4, 7	IB
c) The change relates to a biological/immunological medicinal product.			II
d) More than 10-fold increase compared to the currently approved batch size for immediate release.	7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	IB

Conditions

- 1) The change does not affect reproducibility and/or consistency of the product.
- 2) The change relates to standard immediate release oral pharmaceutical forms or to non-sterile liquid based pharmaceutical forms.
- 3) Any changes to the manufacturing method and/or to the in-process controls are only those necessitated by the change in batch-size, e.g. use of different sized equipment.
- 4) Validation scheme is available, or validation of the manufacture has been successfully carried out according to the current protocol with at least three batches at the proposed new batch size in accordance with the ICH guidelines.
- 5) The product concerned is not a biological/immunological medicinal product.

6) The change should not be the result of unexpected events arising during manufacture or because of stability concerns.			
7) Relevant stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches for at least three months.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Batch analysis data (in a comparative tabulated format) with its COAs on a minimum of one production batch manufactured to both the currently approved and the proposed sizes. Batch data on the next two full production batches should be made available upon request and reported by the marketing authorization holder if outside specifications (with proposed action).			
3) Copy of approved release and end of shelf-life specifications.			
4) The batch numbers (3) used in the validation study should be indicated or validation protocol (scheme) be submitted.			
5) The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches for at least three months.			
6) A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action.			
7) Justification for the change.			
27. Change to in-process tests or limits applied during the manufacture of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Tightening of in-process limits.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Addition of new tests and limits.	1, 2, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IA
c) Widening of the approved IPC limits, which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the finished product.			II
d) Deletion of an in-process test which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the finished product.			II
e) Addition or replacement of an in-process test as a result of a safety or quality issue.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IB
f) Deletion of a non-significant in-process test.	1, 2	1, 2, 6	IB
Conditions			

1) The change is not a consequence of any commitment from previous assessments to review specification limits (e.g. made during the procedure for the marketing authorization application or a type II variation procedure).
2) The change does not result from unexpected events arising during manufacture e.g. new unqualified impurity; change in total impurity limits.
3) Any change should be within the range of currently approved limits.
4) The test procedure remains the same.
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.
6) The new test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method or a method using a biological reagent for a biological active substance.
Documentation
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
2) Comparative table of current and proposed in-process tests.
3) Details of any new analytical method and validation data.
4) Batch analysis data on two production batches (3 production batches for biological, unless otherwise justified) of the finished product for all specification parameters with its COAs.
5) Where appropriate, comparative dissolution profile data for the finished product on at least one pilot batch manufactured using the current and new in-process tests. For herbal medicines comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.
6) Justification/ risk-assessment showing that the parameter is non-significant.
7) Justification of the new in-process test and limits.

c) Control of excipients

28. Change in the specification parameters and/or limits of an excipient.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Tightening of specification limits.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Addition of a new specification parameter to the specification.	1, 2, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8	IA
c) Change outside the approved specifications limits range.			II

d) Deletion of a specification parameter which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the finished product.			II
e) Addition or replacement of a specification parameter as a result of a safety or quality issue.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8	IB
f) Deletion of a non-significant specification parameter (e. g deletion of an obsolete test e.g., organoleptic test).	1, 2	1, 2, 7	IA
Conditions			
1) The change is not a consequence of any commitment from previous assessments to review specification limits (e.g., made during the procedure for the marketing authorization application or a type II variation procedure).			
2) The change does not result from unexpected events arising during manufacture e.g. new unqualified impurity; change in total impurity limits.			
3) Any change should be within the range of currently approved limits.			
4) The test procedure remains the same.			
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.			
6) The test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method.			
7) The change does not concern a genotoxic impurity.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Comparative table of current and proposed specifications.			
3) Details of any new analytical method and validation data.			
4) Batch analysis data on two production batches (3 production batches for biological excipients,) of the excipient for all specification parameters.			
5) Where appropriate, comparative dissolution profile data for the finished product on at least one pilot batch containing the excipient complying with the current and proposed specification. For herbal medicines, comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.			
6) Justification for not submitting a new bioequivalence study, if appropriate.			
7) Justification/ risk-assessment showing that the parameter is non-significant.			
8) Justification of the new specification parameter and the limits.			

29. Change in test procedure for an excipient.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Minor changes to an approved test procedure.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Change (including replacement or addition) to a biological/immunological/immunochemical test method or a method using a biological reagent.			II
c) Other changes to a test procedure (including replacement or addition).		1, 2	IB
d) Deletion of a test procedure if an alternative test procedure is already authorized.	5	1	IA
Conditions			
1) Appropriate validation studies have been performed in accordance with the ICH guidelines and show that the updated test procedure is at least equivalent to the former.			
2) There have been no changes of the total impurity limits; no new unqualified impurities are detected.			
3) The method of analysis should remain the same (e.g., a change in column length or temperature, but not a different type of column or method).			
4) The test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method or a method using a biological reagent.			
5) There is still a test procedure registered for the specification parameter.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation, which includes a description of the analytical methodology, a summary of validation data, revised specifications for impurities (if applicable).			
2) Comparative validation results showing that the current test and the proposed one are equivalent.			

30. Change in source of an excipient or reagent with TSE risk.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Change from TSE risk material to vegetable or synthetic origin:			
1) For excipients or reagents used in the manufacture of biological active substances or in a biological/immunological medicinal product.		1, 2	IB

2) For excipients or reagents not used the manufacture of biological active substance in a biological/immunological medicinal product.	1	1	IA
b) Change or introduction of a TSE risk material or replacement of a TSE risk material from a different TSE risk material, not covered by a TSE certificate of suitability.			II
Conditions			
1) Excipient and finished product release and end of shelf-life specifications remain the same.			
Documentation			
1) Declaration from the manufacturer of the material that it is purely of vegetable or synthetic origin.			
2) Study of equivalence of the materials and the impact on production of the final material and impact on behavior (e.g., dissolution characteristics) of the finished product.			

31. Change in synthesis or recovery of a non-pharmacopeia excipient (when described in the dossier).	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Minor change in synthesis or recovery of a non-pharmacopeia excipient.	1, 2	1, 2, 3, 4	IB
b) The specifications are affected or there is a change in physicochemical properties.			II
c) The excipient is a biological/immunological substance.			II
Conditions			
1) The synthesis and specifications are identical and there is no change in qualitative and quantitative impurity profile (excluding residual solvents, provided they are controlled in accordance with ICH limits), or in physicochemical properties.			
2) Adjuvants are excluded.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Batch analysis data (in a comparative tabulated format) of at least two batches (minimum pilot scale) of the excipient manufactured according to the old and the new process.			

3) Where appropriate, comparative dissolution profile data for the finished product of at least two batches (minimum pilot scale). For herbal medicine, comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.
4) Copy of approved and new (if applicable) specifications of the excipient.

d) Control of finished product

32. Change in the specification parameters and/or limits of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Tightening of specification limits.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Addition of a new specification parameter to the specification.	1, 2, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IA
c) Change outside the approved specifications limits range.			II
d) Deletion of a specification parameter which may have a significant effect on the overall quality of the finished product.			II
e) Addition or replacement of a specification parameter as a result of a safety or quality issue.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IB
f) Deletion of a non-significant specification parameter (e.g. deletion of an obsolete test (e.g. organoleptic test).		1, 2, 6	IA
Conditions			
1) The change is not a consequence of any commitment from previous assessments to review specification limits (e.g. made during the procedure for the marketing authorization application or a type II variation procedure).			
2) The change does not result from unexpected events arising during manufacture e.g. new unqualified impurity; change in total impurity limits.			
3) Any change should be within the range of currently approved limits.			
4) The test procedure remains the same.			
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.			
6) The test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method or a method using a biological reagent for a biological active substance.			

7) The change does not concern a genotoxic impurity.
Documentation
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
2) Comparative table of current and proposed specifications.
3) Details of any new analytical method and validation data.
4) Batch analysis data on two production batches (3 production batches for biological, unless otherwise justified) of the finished product for all specification parameters with its COAs.
5) Where appropriate, comparative dissolution profile data for the finished product on at least one pilot batch complying with the current and proposed specification. For herbal medicines, comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.
6) Justification/ risk-assessment showing that the parameter is non-significant.
7) Justification of the new specification parameter and the limits.

33. Change in test procedure for the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Minor changes to an approved test procedure.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IB
b) Change (including replacement or addition) to a biological/immunological/immunochemical test method or a method using a biological reagent.			II
c) Other changes to a test procedure (including replacement or addition).		1, 2	IB
d) Deletion of a test procedure if an alternative method is already authorized.		1	IB
Conditions			
1) Appropriate validation studies have been performed in accordance with the ICH guidelines and show that the updated test procedure is at least equivalent to the former.			
2) There have been no changes of the total impurity limits; no new unqualified impurities are detected.			
3) The method of analysis should remain the same (e.g. a change in column length or temperature, but not a different type of column or method).			
4) The test method is not a biological/immunological/immunochemical method or a method using a biological reagent.			
Documentation			

1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation, which includes a description of the analytical methodology, a summary of validation data, revised specifications for impurities (if applicable).
2) Comparative validation results showing that the current test and the proposed one are equivalent.

e) Container closure system

34. Change in immediate packaging of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Change in qualitative and quantitative composition:			
1) Solid pharmaceutical forms.	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	IB
2) Semi-solid and non-sterile liquid pharmaceutical forms.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	IB
3) Sterile medicinal products and biological/immunological medicinal products.			II
4) The change relates to a less protective pack where there are associated changes in storage conditions and/or reduction in shelf life.			II
b) Change in the container type for:			
1) Solid, semi-solid and non-sterile liquid pharmaceutical forms.		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	IB
2) Sterile medicinal products and biological/immunological medicinal products.			II
Conditions			
1) The change only concerns the same packaging/container type (e.g. blister to blister).			
2) The proposed packaging material must be at least equivalent to the approved material in respect of its relevant properties.			
3) Relevant stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches for at least three months.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Appropriate data on the new packaging (comparative data on permeability e.g. for O ₂ , CO ₂ moisture).			

3) Proof must be provided that no interaction between the content and the packaging material occurs (e.g. no migration of components of the proposed material into the content and no loss of components of the product into the pack).
4) The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches for at least three months.
5) A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action.
6) Comparative table of the current and proposed specifications, if applicable.

35. Change in the specification parameters and/or limits of the immediate packaging of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Tightening of specification limits.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
b) Addition of a new specification parameter to the specification.	1, 2, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 6	IA
c) Addition or replacement of a specification parameter as a result of a safety or quality issue.		1, 2, 3, 4, 6	IB
d) Deletion of a non-significant specification parameter (e. g deletion of an obsolete test).	1, 2	1, 2, 5	IA
Conditions			
1) The change is not a consequence of any commitment from previous assessments to review specification limits (e.g. made during the procedure for the marketing authorization application or a type II variation procedure).			
2) The change does not result from unexpected events arising during manufacture.			
3) Any change should be within the range of currently approved limits.			
4) The test procedure remains the same.			
5) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Comparative table of current and proposed specifications.			
3) Details of any new analytical method and validation data.			

4) Batch analysis data on two batches of the immediate packaging for all specification parameters.
5) Justification/risk-assessment showing that the parameter is non-significant.
6) Justification of the new specification parameter and the limits.

36. Change in test procedure for the immediate packaging of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Minor changes to an approved test procedure.	1, 2, 3	1, 2	IA
b) Other changes to a test procedure (including replacement or addition).	1, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
c) Deletion of a test procedure if an alternative test procedure is already authorized.	5	1	IA
Conditions			
1) Appropriate validation studies have been performed in accordance with the ICH guidelines and show that the updated test procedure is at least equivalent to the former.			
2) The method of analysis should remain the same (e.g. a change in column length or temperature, but not a different type of column or method).			
3) Any new test method does not concern a novel non-standard technique, or a standard technique used in a novel way.			
4) The active substance/finished product is not biological/immunological.			
5) There is still a test procedure registered for the specification parameter			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation, which includes a description of the analytical methodology and a summary of validation data.			
2) Comparative validation results showing that the current test and the proposed one are equivalent.			

37. Change in shape or dimensions of the container or closure.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Non-sterile medicinal products.	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 4	IB
b) The change in shape or dimensions concerns a fundamental part of the packaging material, which may have a significant impact on the			II

delivery, use, safety or stability of the finished product.			
c) Sterile medicinal products.		1, 2, 3, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) No change in the qualitative or quantitative composition of the container.			
2) The change does not concern a fundamental part of the packaging material, which affects the delivery, use, safety or stability of the finished product.			
3) In case of a change in the headspace or a change in the surface/volume ratio, stability studies have been started according to the GCC stability guidelines, and relevant stability parameters have been assessed in at least two pilot scale or production scale batches (three for biological/ immunological medicinal product) and at least three months (six months for biological/immunological medicinal product).			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation (including description, detailed drawing and composition of the container or closure material).			
2) Samples of the current and new container/closure where applicable.			
3) Re-validation studies have been performed in case of sterile products terminally sterilized and the summary of validation data is required.			
4) In case of a change in the headspace or a change in the surface/volume ratio, the following should be submitted: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches (three batches for biological/immunological medicinal product) for at least three months (six months for biological/immunological medicinal product). • A letter of commitment to finalize the stability studies and the data must be submitted immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out-of-specifications (OOS) results along with the proposed action. 			

38. Change in pack size of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure Type
a) Change in pack size (e.g. tablets, ampoules, etc.).	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	IB
b) Change in the fill weight/fill volume of sterile multi- dose (or single-dose, partial use) medicinal products, and biological/ immunological multi-dose medicinal products.	4		II

c) Change in the fill weight/fill volume of non-parenteral multi-dose (or single-dose, partial use) products.	1, 2, 4	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	IB
Conditions			
1) New pack size should be consistent with the posology and treatment duration as approved in the summary of product characteristics.			
2) The primary packaging material remains the same.			
3) The remaining product presentation(s) must be adequate for the dosing instructions and treatment duration as mentioned in the Summary of Product Characteristics.			
4) Not as an addition to the existing pack size range			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation, including revised product information as appropriate.			
2) Justification for the new/remaining pack-size, showing that the new/remaining size is/are consistent with the dosage regimen and duration of use as approved in the summary of product characteristics.			
3) A declaration that container closure system (CCS) has not been changed from the previously approved one.			
4) Updated version of the Product Information, including the SPC, labeling, PIL, and Artwork (Mock-up).			
5) The results of stability studies that have been carried out according to the GCC stability, on the relevant stability parameters, on at least two pilot or production scale batches for at least three months.			
6) A letter of commitment to finalize and submit the stability study after completion of the study and to report any out-of specification results immediately to the NHRA.			
7) New Price form available on NHRA website.			

39. Change in any part of the (primary) packaging material not in contact with the finished product formulation (such as color of flip-off caps, color code rings on ampoules, change of needle shield (different plastic used)).	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
	1, 2	1	IA
Conditions			
1) The change does not concern a part of the packaging material, which affects the delivery, use, safety, or stability of the finished product.			

2) The registered information on the pack should not change.
Documentation
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.

40. Change in supplier of packaging components or devices (when mentioned in the dossier).	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Deletion of a supplier.	1	1	IA
b) Replacement or addition of a supplier.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2	IA
c) Any change to suppliers of spacer devices for metered dose inhalers.			II
Conditions			
1) No deletion of packaging component or device.			
2) The qualitative and quantitative composition of the packaging components/device and design specifications remain the same.			
3) The specifications and quality control method are at least equivalent.			
4) The sterilization method and conditions remain the same, if applicable.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Comparative table of current and proposed specifications, if applicable.			

41. Change in the packaging design of the primary and/or Secondary packaging not in contact with the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Change in the packaging design and/or artwork.	1, 2	1, 2	IB
b) Change in logo.		1	IA
c) Change in dimension of the leaflet and/or outer carton.	1, 2, 3	1, 2	IA
Conditions			
1) The change does not concern a part of the packaging material, which affects the delivery, use, safety, or stability of the finished product.			

2) The proposed changes should comply with NHRA Guideline for Labeling & Package Leaflet Information.
3) No change in the current approved information or leaflet revision date.
Documentation
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
2) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” artworks.

42. Addition of the electronic patient leaflet (statement and barcode) to the outer package artwork (Mock-up)	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
	1, 2	1, 2, 3	IA
Conditions			
1) This addition should have no impact to the artwork (Mock-up) design, size, shape, or color.			
2) No change in the leaflet revision date.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” mock-up.			

f) Stability

43. Change in the shelf-life or storage conditions of the finished product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Reduction of the shelf-life of the finished product.			
1) As packaged for sale. 2) After first opening. 3) After dilution or reconstitution.	1	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	IB
b) Extension of the shelf-life of the finished product.			
1) As packaged for sale. 2) After first opening. 3) After dilution or reconstitution.		1, 2, 4, 5, 6	IB
c) Change in storage conditions of the finished product or the diluted/reconstituted product.		1, 2, 4, 5, 6	IB

d) Change in storage conditions for biological medicinal products, when the stability studies have not been performed in accordance with an approved stability protocol.			II
e) Change to an approved stability protocol.	1, 2	1, 2, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) The change should not be the result of unexpected events arising during manufacture or because of stability concerns			
2) The change does not concern a widening of the acceptance criteria in the parameters tested, a removal of stability indicating parameters or a reduction in the frequency of testing.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Justification for the change.			
3) Stability studies that triggered the proposed change.			
4) Recent real time stability studies (covering the entire shelf-life) conducted according to GCC stability guidelines and relevant stability parameters have been assessed on at least three production scale batches of the finished product in the authorized packaging material and/or after first opening or reconstitution (in-use stability), as appropriate; where applicable, results of appropriate microbiological testing should be included.			
5) Confirmation that stability studies have been done to the currently approved protocol. The studies must show that the agreed relevant specifications are still met, and no extrapolation is used.			
6) Copy of approved end of shelf-life finished product specification and where applicable, specifications after dilution/reconstitution or first opening.			

II.3 CEP/TSE/Monograph

44. Submission of a new or updated certificate of suitability:	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For an active substance. • For a starting material/reagent/intermediate used in the manufacturing process of the active substance. • For an excipient. 			
a) Certificate of Suitability.			
1) New certificate from an already approved manufacturer.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3, 4	IA
2) Updated certificate from an already approved Manufacturer.	1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2, 4, 6	IA
3) New certificate from a new manufacturer (replacement or addition).	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IB
4) Deletion of certificates (in case multiple certificates exist per material)	7	3	IB
b) TSE Certificate of suitability for an active substance/ starting material/ reagent/ intermediate/or excipient.			
1) New certificate for an active substance from a new or an already approved manufacturer.	3, 6	1, 2, 3, 4	IA
2) New certificate for a starting material/reagent/intermediate/or excipient from a new or an already approved manufacturer.	3, 6	1, 2, 3, 4	IA
3) Updated certificate from an already approved manufacturer.		1, 2, 3, 4, 6	IA
4) Deletion of certificates (in case multiple certificates exist per material)	7	3	IA
Conditions			
1) The finished product release and end of shelf-life specifications remain the same. 2) Unchanged (excluding tightening) additional specifications for impurities (excluding residual solvents, provided they are in compliance with ICH and product specific requirements (e.g. particle size profiles, polymorphic form), if applicable.			

3) The manufacturing process of the active substance, starting material/reagent/intermediate does not include the use of materials of human or animal origin for which an assessment of viral safety data.
4) For active substance only, it will be tested immediately prior to use if no retest period is included in the Certificate of Suitability or if data to support a retest period is not already provided in the dossier.
5) The active substance/starting material/reagent/intermediate/excipient is not sterile.
6) For herbal active substances: the manufacturing route, physical form, extraction solvent and drug extract ratio (DER) should remain the same.
7) At least one manufacturer for the same substance remains in the dossier.
Documentation
1) Copy of the current (updated) Certificate of Suitability with complete annexes.
2) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” manufacturers.
3) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.
4) Where applicable, a document providing information of any materials falling within the scope of the <i>note for guidance on minimizing the risk of transmitting animal spongiform encephalopathy agents via human and veterinary medicinal products</i> or an equivalent guideline of the ICH region and associated countries including those which are used in the manufacturer of the API. The following information should be included for each such material: name of manufacturer, species and tissues from which the material is a derivative, country of origin of the source animals and its use.
5) Stability studies for at least 3 months on one production batch of the finished product (accelerated and long term) according to the GCC guidelines using API from the new supplier and submit stability data immediately to the NHRA only in case of any out of specification results (OOS) along with the proposed action.
6) Justification for the CEP update.
7) Copy of valid GMP certificate.

45. Change to comply with reference pharmacopeia.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Change of specification(s) of a former non-pharmacopeia substance to comply with reference pharmacopeia.			
1) Active substance.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	IA
2) Excipient/active substance starting material.	1, 2, 4	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	IA
b) Change to comply with an update of the relevant monograph of the reference pharmacopeia.	1, 2, 4, 5	1, 2	IA

c) Change in specifications from a reference pharmacopeia to another reference pharmacopeia.	1, 4, 5	1	IA
Conditions			
1) The change is made exclusively to comply with the pharmacopoeia.			
2) Additional specifications to the pharmacopoeia for product specific properties are unchanged (e.g. particle size profiles, polymorphic form).			
3) No significant changes in qualitative and quantitative impurities profile unless the specifications are tightened.			
4) The substance is not biological, an immunological or an adjuvant.			
5) For herbal active substances: the manufacturing route, physical form, extraction solvent and drug extract ratio (DER) should remain the same.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation.			
2) Comparative table of current and proposed specifications.			
3) Batch analysis data on two production batches of the relevant substance for all tests in the new specification with its COAs.			
4) Data to demonstrate the suitability of the monograph to control the substance, e.g. a comparison of the potential impurities with the transparency note of the monograph.			
5) Where appropriate, batch analysis data (in a comparative tabulated format) on two production batches of the finished product containing the substance complying with the current and proposed specification and additionally, where appropriate, comparative dissolution profile data for the finished product on at least one pilot batch. For herbal medicines, comparative disintegration data may be acceptable.			

II.4 PMF/VAMF

46. Inclusion of a new, updated or amended Plasma Master File in the marketing authorization dossier of a medicinal product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) First-time inclusion Plasma Master File affecting the properties of the finished product.			II
b) First-time inclusion of a new Plasma Master File not affecting the properties of the finished product.		1, 2, 3, 4	IB
c) Inclusion of an updated/amended Plasma Master File when changes affect the properties of the finished product.		1, 2, 3, 4	IB
d) Inclusion of an updated/amended Plasma Master File when changes do not affect the properties of the finished product.	1	1, 2, 3, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) The new, updated or amended Plasma Master File has been granted a certificate of compliance from the competent authority.			
Documentation			
1) Letter declaring that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The PMF certificate, evaluation report and PMF are fully applicable for the authorized product, PMF holder has submitted the PMF certificate, evaluation report and PMF dossier to the MAH (where the MAH is different to the PMF holder), The PMF certificate, evaluation report and PMF dossier replace the previous PMF documentation for this Marketing Authorization. 			
2) PMF certificate, evaluation report and PMF dossier (or amended parts).			
3) An expert statement outlining all the changes introduced with the certified PMF and evaluating their potential impact on the finished products.			
4) The submitted documents should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” PMF certificate.			

47. Inclusion of a new, updated or amended Vaccine Antigen Master File in the marketing authorization dossier of a medicinal product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) First-time inclusion Vaccine Antigen Master File affecting the properties of the finished product.			II

b) Inclusion of an updated/amended Vaccine Antigen Master File when changes affect the properties of the finished product.		1, 2, 3, 4	IB
c) Inclusion of an updated/amended Vaccine Antigen Master when changes do not affect the properties of the finished product.	1	1, 2, 3, 4	IB
Conditions			
1) The new, update or amended Vaccine Antigen Master File has been granted a certificate of compliance from the competent authority.			
Documentation			
1) Letter declaring that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The VAMF certificate, evaluation report and VAMF are fully applicable for the authorized product, VAMF holder has submitted the VAMF certificate, Evaluation report and VAMF dossier to the MAH (where the MAH is different to the VAMF holder), The VAMF certificate, evaluation report and VAMF dossier replace the previous VAMF documentation for this Marketing Authorization. 			
2) VAMF certificate, evaluation report and VAMF dossier (or amended parts).			
3) An expert statement outlining all the changes introduced with the certified VAMF and evaluating their potential impact on the finished products.			
4) The submitted document should clearly outline the “present” and “proposed” VAMF certificate.			

II.5 Drugs Containing Medical Device

48. Change of a measuring or administration device.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Addition or replacement of a device which is not an integrated part of the primary packaging.			
1) Space device for metered dose inhaler.			II
b) Deletion of a device.	1	1	IB
c) Addition or replacement of a device which is an integrated part of the primary packaging.			II
Conditions			
1) The medicinal product can still be accurately delivered.			
Documentation			
1) Replacement of the relevant pages of the dossier that are affected by the variation (including description, detailed drawing and composition of the device material and supplier where appropriate).			

III. Safety, Efficacy Changes (*Human Medicinal Products*)

49. Change in the summary of product characteristics, labeling and package leaflet of a generic medicinal product following assessment of the same change for the reference product.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Implementation of change(s) for which no new additional data are submitted by the MAH.		1, 2	IB
b) Implementation of change(s) which require to be further substantiated by new additional data to be submitted by the MAH.	1		II
Conditions			
1) The change should be approved by the reference product competent authority.			
Documentation			
1) Attached to the cover letter of the variation application: the competent authority request, if available.			
2) Revised product information (Updated and approved Summary of Product Characteristic, labeling and leaflet) and current product information.			
3) Comparison table showing the current state/situation versus the proposed state/situation			
Note			
*Leaflet must comply with NHRA Guideline for Labeling & Package Leaflet Information published on NHRA website.			

50. Change(s) in the summary of product characteristics, labeling and package leaflet related to an urgent safety restriction, class labeling, a periodic safety update report, risk management plan, or follow up measure/specific obligation.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
a) Implementation of change(s) requested by NHRA/ competent authority following the assessment of an urgent safety restriction, class labeling, a periodic safety update report, risk management plan, or follow up measure/specific obligation.			
1) Implementation of agreed wording change(s) for which no new additional data are submitted by the MAH.		1, 2	IB
2) Implementation of change(s) which require to be further substantiated by new additional data to be submitted by the MAH.			IB

b) Change(s) proposed by the MAH with submission of a periodic safety update report, risk management plan, follow up measures/specific obligations.			IB
Conditions			
None.			
Documentation			
1) Attached to the cover letter of the variation application: the competent authority request with attached relevant assessment report, if available.			
2) Revised product information.			
Note: MAHs are reminded that once new information becomes available (e.g. new study data) which might entail the variation of the MA, this should be submitted as a variation.			
*Leaflet must comply with NHRA Guideline for Labeling & Package Leaflet Information published on NHRA website.			

51. Variations related to significant modifications of the Summary of Product Characteristics due in particular to new quality, pre-clinical, clinical.	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
			II

52. Change(s) to therapeutic indication(s).	Conditions to be fulfilled	Documentation to be supplied	Procedure type
1) Addition of a new therapeutic indication or modification of an approved one.			II
2) Deletion of a therapeutic indication.			II



Appendix II: Examples for Major Changes

Major changes (Type II) exceed the scope of the minor changes (Type I) listed in Appendix 1, e.g. they exceed or do not comply with the conditions to be fulfilled along with the change, but are not covered by the changes listed in Appendix 3.

Examples for major changes include but are not limited to the following:

- Changes in the manufacturing process of the API.
- Changes to the active substance of a seasonal, pre-pandemic or pandemic vaccine against human.
- Changes in the composition of the finished product.
- Change in concentration of a single-dose, total use parenteral product, where the amount of active substance per unit dose (i.e. the strength) remains the same.
- Changes to the immediate (primary) packaging of the product.
- Changes in the finished product manufacture:
 - Modification of an approved or introduction of a new design space.
- Changes in the control of finished product:
 - Variations related to the introduction of real-time release or parametric release in the manufacture of the finished product.
- Safety, efficacy changes:
 - Variations related to significant modifications of the summary of product characteristics due in particular to new quality, pre-clinical, clinical.
 - Change(s) to therapeutic indication(s):
 - Addition of a new therapeutic indication or modification of an approved one.
 - Deletion of a therapeutic indication.

PMF/VAMF- specific changes:

- Changes in the plasma pool preparation (e.g. manufacturing method, pool size, storage).
- Change in the steps that would be taken if it is found retrospectively that donation(s).
- Should have been excluded from processing (“look-back” procedure).
- Introduction of test for viral markers when this introduction will have significant impact on the viral risk assessment or to fulfill pharmacopeia requirements.
 - Removal of inventory hold period or reduction in its length.
 - Change of kit/method used to test pools (antibody or antigen or NAT test).



- Addition or change of a site testing the donations and/or plasma pool within an organization not already included in the PMF.
- Addition of a new organization in the blood/plasma collection establishments and/or addition of establishments for an organization not included in the PMF.

It remains the applicant's responsibility to provide the relevant documentation (relevant parts of the dossier) intended to prove that the intended major change will not have an impact on the quality of the product that has been authorized.



Appendix III: Changes that Make a New Application is Necessary

Examples for changes that make a new application is necessary include but are not limited to the following:

1. Changes to the API, for example:

- I. Change of the API to a different API.
- II. Inclusion of an additional API in a multi-component product.
- III. Removal of one API from a multi-component product.
- IV. Change in the dose of one or more APIs.
- V. Change in API salts form.

2. Changes to the pharmaceutical form/dosage form, for example:

- I. Change from an immediate-release product to a slow- or delayed-release dosage form and vice versa.
- II. Change from a liquid to a powder for reconstitution, or vice versa.
- III. A change from multi-dose to single-dose or vice-versa (both for addition or replacement).

3. Changes to the strength.

4. Change or addition of route of administration.

5. Addition of pack size.

6. The addition or replacement of measuring or administration device being an integrated part of the primary packaging that results in a change to the strength, pharmaceutical form or route of administration of the product.

7. Addition of batch release site.

Abbreviations

API	Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient.
ATC	Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification.
CEP	Certificate of Suitability.
DER	Drug Extract Ratio.
DMF	Drug Master File.
ICH	International Conference on Harmonization.
INN	International Nonproprietary Name.
IPC	In-Process Control.
MAH	Marketing Authorization Holder.
PMF	Plasma Master File.
QP	Qualified Person.
NHRA	National Health Regulatory Authority.
TSE	Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy.
VAMF	Vaccine Antigen Master File.
WHO	World Health Organization.
NAT	Nucleic Acid Testing.
MA	Marketing Authorization.
GMP	Good Manufacturing Practice.
SOPs	Standard Operating Procedures.
ePIL	Electronic Patient Information Leaflet



References

1. European Medicine Agency: Variations for Human Medicines.
2. The GCC Guidelines for Variation Requirements.
3. ICH Quality, Safety & Efficacy Guidelines.
4. NHRA Medicine Licensing Guideline